25X1

25X1

5/25X1Alb:

Colby wants to start reasonably soon: to improve his confidence in his own understanding.

JM: I will be giving Colby a paper that will be fleshed out some re the future concept. He will say something about his scepticism or open-mindedness re the future of a bigger system. And about setting about to find hout how he

b. Re future architecture, Colby is open-minded but not committed. He is not sure that there is a future for a very elaborate COMNS.

concept of COINS, i.e., a large system, he is going to pick some one from CIA and ask for a mini-assessment; he wants 2-3 people,

standing of what is the state of the art, what should you expect to do.

and maybe NCF. To get immediate under-

Yes, upgrade should exist; how much more is not clear.

c. To improve his confidence in his own understanding of the

Briggs: I see emerging a different concept of COINS II. Rather than being an info retrieval system for analysts, it's being seen (byk the draft ASD(I) paper) as a network. I like looking at this, including IDHS and WMCCS. This may be what the COINS name comes to mean. Sensible to have DOD in a major, up front role in DCA concept. This needs to be talked about/more.

Re files themselves and impact on ..., its toughter.

My motivation is positive. We're seeing more people internally. I want to grind into the interactive network the range of need for on-time. (What's he is alluding to is the CIA mode of having a librarian or technician as terminal operator, who knows all the file languages, and works the analyst's query for him.)

If we could start thinking that sometimes it makes sense to **x** gite a user training, but other times a trained librarian is a better intermediary.

Alb: We eventually should get xxxxxx together to make sure we know ...

JM: Colbe has told me to operate USIB committees. The capability exists to use them. Where they have the capability to be useful and exist all I have to do is to write them. Where a structure exists, we should use it. E.G., CMAIC should format any AE files on COINS.

JN: I'd like to see IDHS get so good that it takes over COINS and then CIA comes to ask if they can play.

Solat: IDHS is floundering for lack of mgt.

including one from

should think for the future.

Alb: Hope for a CIA host in 75.

Briggs: Its not in CIA FY 75 budget that went to OMB today. It might be gotten in.

JM: XXXIX Cur reply to PFIAB will only say something about the quality of files and the other two points I made previously.

I'd like to give your \mathbf{x} report a hard reading and I'll suggest changes. There may be utility in our all having a basic document. (Genl agmt and vague commitment to all help edit.)

25X1

-		
1	COINS 500 k in Fy 73. have tangroved for Release 2004/02#10 plctaeRDP79M00 096A000100040029-1 have tangroved case to recover this amt from CIA reserve. That also takes OK by congressional committee. Don't want to try it.	
	I said re DCI responsibility for COINS:	
	 DCI has \$ control via IRAC. Sources and methods respy. Substantive production respy. 	
25X1	thinks Baker doesn't like as Exec Agt.	25X
25X1	If COINS itself is not centralized, leave the flexibility re how to budget, thinks It could be centralized but may not be necessary.	
25X1	thinks re COINS that system on-line interface is not needed in all cases. CIA uses intermediary technician to query for for analysts. Also, manufacturers make this beyond our ability to control.	
25X1	an Coius A NOF Early Oct 23)	j
(&	Early Oct 23)	